**Department of Public Policy and Administration** 

5 = excellently, 4 = very well, 3 = satisfactorily, 2 = poorly, 1 = not accomplished

EdD 603 section 1  1 01. Explain the theories of public and private benefits of education.  2 Explain and evaluate the roles in policy making for education in view of theories of governmental intervention in society.	Enrolled 14	Polled		5		4	3	}	2		1	av	erage
section 1  01. Explain the theories of public and private benefits of education.  02. Explain and evaluate the roles in policy making for education in view of	14												
<ul><li>01. Explain the theories of public and private benefits of education.</li><li>02. Explain and evaluate the roles in policy making for education in view of</li></ul>	14												
02. Explain and evaluate the roles in policy making for education in view of	14												
- 02. Explain and evaluate the releasing policy making for education in view of		14	<u>11</u>	78.6%	<u>3</u>	21.4%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.79
theories of governmental intervention in society.	f 14	14	<u>11</u>	78.6%	<u>2</u>	14.3%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	7.1%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.64
<ul> <li>03. Identify the major policy issues affecting public K-12 and community college education in California; and develop and defend positions on them using a clear theoretical framework.</li> </ul>	14	13	<u>9</u>	69.2%	<u>3</u>	23.1%	<u>1</u>	7.7%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.62
<ul> <li>04. Explain institutional education policy characteristics unique to California</li> </ul>	a. 14	14	<u>11</u>	78.6%	<u>3</u>	21.4%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.79
05. Analyze and explain the key issues of equity, efficiency, and political acceptability in relation to policymaking.	14	14	<u>10</u>	71.4%	<u>4</u>	28.6%	0	0%	0	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.71
06. Communicate effectively in writing and in person in a public policy environment.	14	12	<u>9</u>	75.0%	<u>3</u>	25.0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.75
Overall Averages for section	14	14	<u>10</u>	75.3%	<u>3</u>	22.2%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.72
PPA 200 Intro to PPA													
ection 1													
O1. Construct clear definition of problems (1a)	17	16	<u>3</u>	18.8%	9	56.3%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	3.9
02. Work effectively in groups (2b)	17	16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>2</u>	12.5%	<u>3</u>	18.8%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.5
03. Write clearly and succinctly as appropriate to various audiences (2e)	17	16	<u>9</u>	56.3%	<u>6</u>	37.5%	<u>1</u>	6.3%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.5
<ul> <li>04. Consider the ethical dimensions of choices in public policy and administration (3b)</li> </ul>	17	16	<u>6</u>	37.5%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	<u>5</u>	31.3%	<u>1</u>	6.3%	<u>0</u>	0%	3.9
■ 05. Understand the difference between analysis and advocacy (3c)	17	16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>5</u>	31.3%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.69
<ul> <li>06. Understand the significance of diversity in effective public governance in California (3d)</li> </ul>	17	15	<u>4</u>	26.7%	<u>6</u>	40.0%	<u>4</u>	26.7%	1	6.7%	<u>0</u>	0%	3.87
07. Understand the major research and/or professional conventions, practices, and methods of inquiry of the discipline (Writing Intensive)	17	16	<u>9</u>	56.3%	<u>6</u>	37.5%	1	6.3%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.5
<ul> <li>08. Understand the major formats, genres, and styles of writing used in the discipline (Writing Intensive)</li> </ul>	e 17	16	<u>9</u>	56.3%	<u>5</u>	31.3%	<u>2</u>	12.5%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.4
■ 09. Practice reading and writing within the discipline (Writing Intensive)	17	16	<u>12</u>	75.0%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	<u>0</u>	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.7
<ul> <li>10. Practice reading and writing as a learning process that involves peer and instructor feedback, revision, critical reflection, and self-editing (Writing Intensive)</li> </ul>	17 g	16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	<u>1</u>	6.3%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.62
Overall Averages for section	17	16	<u>8</u>	53.5%	<u>5</u>	32.1%	2	13.2%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3
PPA 205 Research													
section 1													
01. Understand the importance of thinking systematically about how to answer social science questions, including understanding the advantages and limitations of different research designs and methods. (1c)	13	9	<u>6</u>	66.7%	<u>3</u>	33.3%	0	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.6
<ul> <li>02. Understand the advantages and limitations of using different ways to collect data, such as experiments, surveys, field research, and secondary data sets. (2a)</li> </ul>	13	9	7	77.8%	<u>2</u>	22.2%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.7
■ 03. Work effectively in groups.(2b)	13	9	<u>6</u>	66.7%	2	22.2%	<u>1</u>	11.1%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.5

Department of Public Policy and Administration California State University, Sacramento

5 = excellently, 4 = very well, 3 = satisfactorily, 2 = poorly, 1 = not accomplished

norma State Universi	ty, Sacramento						R	an	ked					
ourse Learning Ob	jective	Enrolled	Polled		5		4	3	3	2		1	av	erage
04. Frame and pres	sent problems effectively to different audiences. (2d	) 13	9	<u>5</u>	55.6%	<u>4</u>	44.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.56
05. Write effectively	for different audiences. (2e)	13	9	2	22.2%	<u>7</u>	77.8%	<u>0</u>	0%	0	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.22
06. Understand the	difference between analysis and advocacy. (3c)	13	9	<u>6</u>	66.7%	<u>3</u>	33.3%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.67
	Overall Averages for section	13	9	<u>5</u>	59.3%	<u>4</u>	38.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4.57
ection 2														
answer social scier	importance of thinking systematically about how to ace questions, including understanding the advanta- fferent research designs and methods. (1c)		8	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.88
	advantages and limitations of using different ways s experiments, surveys, field research, and second		8	7	87.5%	1	12.5%	0	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	0	0%	4.88
03. Work effectively	in groups.(2b)	14	8	<u>6</u>	75.0%	<u>2</u>	25.0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.75
04. Frame and pres	sent problems effectively to different audiences. (2d	) 14	8	7	87.5%	<u>0</u>	0%	1	12.5%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.75
05. Write effectively	for different audiences. (2e)	14	8	<u>5</u>	62.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	1	12.5%	0	0%	4.25
06. Understand the	difference between analysis and advocacy. (3c)	14	8	<u>7</u>	87.5%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>1</u>	12.5%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.75
	Overall Averages for section	14	8	<u>6</u>	81.3%	1	0%	0	6.3%	0	0%	0	0%	4.71
PA 220A Economic Ana	lysis I													
ction 1														
	ods of Bardach and CAM analysis, learn to effective a clear definition of a policy problem. (1a)	ely 19	17	<u>6</u>	35.3%	9	52.9%	<u>2</u>	11.8%	<u>0</u>	0%	0	0%	4.24
	ods of Bardach and CAM analysis, identify reasona ess a clearly defined policy problem. (1b)	ble 19	17	<u>8</u>	47.1%	<u>7</u>	41.2%	<u>2</u>	11.8%	0	0%	0	0%	4.35
<ol><li>Using the meth appropriate criteria</li></ol>	ods of Bardach and CAM analysis, identify and use to evaluate these alternatives. (1c)	19	17	<u>6</u>	35.3%	<u>8</u>	47.1%	<u>3</u>	17.6%	0	0%	0	0%	4.18
demand, markets,	important role of economic concepts (i.e., supply, perfect competition, monopoly, consumer and produs, public goods) in public policy. (1e)	19 ucer	17	<u>11</u>	64.7%	<u>5</u>	29.4%	1	5.9%	0	0%	0	0%	4.59
	clearly and succinctly as appropriate to various parize the application of economic concepts to polic	19 y	17	<u>11</u>	64.7%	<u>5</u>	29.4%	1	5.9%	0	0%	0	0%	4.59
policymakers on th Both ethical and va	t the role of the policy analyst is to offer advice to e desirability of alternative solutions to a policy prob lue neutrality are desired in policy analysis. If perso by analysis, they must be noted(3c)		17	9	52.9%	7	41.2%	1	5.9%	0	0%	0	0%	4.4
	major research and/or professional conventions, nods of inquiry used in economics for policy analysi	19 s.	16	7	43.8%	<u>6</u>	37.5%	<u>3</u>	18.8%	<u>0</u>	0%	0	0%	4.2
	major formats, genres, and styles of writing used in an analysis (Writing Intensive)	ո 19	17	<u>5</u>	29.4%	<u>8</u>	47.1%	<u>3</u>	17.6%	1	5.9%	0	0%	4
	major research and/or professional conventions, nods of inquiry of economics for policy analysis.	19	17	<u>12</u>	70.6%	<u>3</u>	17.6%	2	11.8%	0	0%	0	0%	4.59

Department of Public Policy and Administration California State University, Sacramento

5 = excellently, 4 = very well, 3 = satisfactorily, 2 = poorly, 1 = not accomplished

D	•	n	b	_	_
п	а	п	ĸ	e	L

								٠	<b>NCU</b>					
Cou	rse Learning Objective	<b>Enrolled</b>	Polled		5		4	3	3	2		1	ave	erage
	10. Practice reading and writing as a learning process that involves peer and instructor feedback, revision, critical reflection, and self-editing. (Writing Intensive)	19	17	<u>12</u>	70.6%	<u>4</u>	23.5%	1	5.9%	<u>0</u>	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	4.6
	Overall Averages for section	19	17	9	51.5%	<u>6</u>	36.7%	2	11.2%	0	0%	0	0%	4.3
PA	230 Public Budgeting													
ecti	on 1													
	01. Identify reasonable alternatives to address state and local budget and fiscal problems. (1b)	18	16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	<u>0</u>	0%	0	0%	1	6.3%	4.5
	02. Analyze and evaluate alternatives for addressing state and local budg and fiscal problems, and offer solutions to these problems based upon thi analysis(1c)		16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	0	0%	<u>0</u>	0%	1	6.3%	4.5
	03. Use different analytical skills and tools strategically in the examination of budget and fiscal issues. (2a)	n 18	16	<u>10</u>	62.5%	<u>4</u>	25.0%	<u>1</u>	6.3%	0	0%	<u>1</u>	6.3%	4.3
	04. Understand your obligation to advance public value when considering how to raise and expend public funds (3a.)	18	16	<u>12</u>	75.0%	<u>2</u>	12.5%	1	6.3%	0	0%	1	6.3%	4.5
	05. Consider the ethical dimensions of choices in the realm of state and local finance. (3b)	18	16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>3</u>	18.8%	1	6.3%	0	0%	1	6.3%	4.4
	Overall Averages for section	18	16	<u>11</u>	68.8%	<u>3</u>	21.3%	1	3.8%	0	0%	1	6.3%	4.4
PPA	240A Management I													
secti	on 1													
	01. Analyze and evaluate alternatives and offer solutions (1c)	13	13	<u>2</u>	15.4%	<u>4</u>	30.8%	<u>3</u>	23.1%	<u>3</u>	23.1%	1	7.7%	3.2
•	02. Draw upon multiple disciplines to understand and address policy and administrative problems(1e)	13	13	<u>2</u>	15.4%	<u>4</u>	30.8%	<u>5</u>	38.5%	<u>2</u>	15.4%	0	0%	3.4
	03. Effectively review a literature to help address a problem (1f)	13	13	<u>3</u>	23.1%	<u>2</u>	15.4%	<u>5</u>	38.5%	<u>2</u>	15.4%	<u>1</u>	7.7%	3.3
	04. Work effectively in groups(2b)	13	13	<u>3</u>	23.1%	<u>6</u>	46.2%	<u>3</u>	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	0%	3.8
•	05. Understand the critical role of effective leadership in the public sector (2c)	13	13	<u>2</u>	15.4%	<u>5</u>	38.5%	<u>6</u>	46.2%	0	0%	0	0%	3.6
	06. Understand the significance of diversity in effective public governance in California(3d)	13	12	<u>2</u>	16.7%	<u>3</u>	25.0%	<u>2</u>	16.7%	<u>3</u>	25.0%	2	16.7%	3
	Overall Averages for section	13	13	2	18.2%	4	31.2%	1	31.2%	2	14.3%	1	5.2%	3.4

Department of Public Policy and Administration California State University, Sacramento

5 = excellently, 4 = very well, 3 = satisfactorily, 2 = poorly, 1 = not accomplished

Ranked

Course Learning Objective Enrolled Polled 5 4 3 2 1 average

Overall Totals and Averages

Fall 2014

	Number Enrolled	Number Polled	ranked5	ranked4	ranked3	ranked2	ranked1	Overall Average
totals	774	668	373	198	72	16	9	
averages	15.8	13.63	7.61	4.04	1.47	0.33	0.18	4.36
	100%	86.30%	48.19%	25.58%	9.30%	2.07%	1.16%	